對多模態磁浮軸承轉子系統具性能強健性 **PID** 控制器設計

Design of the PID Performance-Robustness Controller for the Multi-Mode Rotor System with Magnetic Bearings

NSC 90 2213 E 164 002

執行期限:90 8 1 日至 91 7 31 日

E-Mail:gjsheu@mail.hit.edu.tw

田口式實驗法及工業上最常應用的 PID

模態轉子系統、PID 控制器設計

 $/$

Abstract

This project presents a controller design methodology for the optimal design of sensor/actuator location and feedback gains. The methodology combines Taguchi's method in quality control engineering and conventional PID control such that their advantages in implementation feasibility and performance-robustness can be integrated together. By using the location of the magnetic bearing and PD feedback gains as design parameters for the multi-mode rotor system with a magnetic bearing, the controller can be determined by a small number of matrix experiments to achieve the best system performance.

Keywords: Taguchi's method, performancerobustness, multi-mode rotor system, PID controller design

LQR algorithms were recently widely applied to rotor systems with a magnetic bearing. However, the controller order is so high that prevents any feasible implementation. Even if implementable, it is optimal or near optimal only to the rotor system model of preselected sensor/actuator location at a specific operating speed. However, structure vibration suppression depends not only on control law design but also on sensor/actuator locations. Furthermore, full-state measurement in LQR is difficult, if not impossible, and the choice of weighting matrices Q and R has often plagued many applications. Their requirement of either on-line state estimation or observer also poses an insurmountable task in the controller design of rotor systems. In contrast, traditional PD controllers have been considered preferable over LQR-based algorithms in some industrial applications.

This project will present a new methodology of controller design for the vibration control of multi-mode rotor system with a magnetic bearing. The methodology combines the experimental design method originated from Taguchi's method in quality control engineering and the conventional PID control such that their advantages in implementation feasibility and performancerobustness can be integrated together. Taguchi's method is an engineering methodology for improving productivity during research and development phases. It is to improve product quality by minimizing the effect of design and process uncertainties but

1

without eliminating the causes, hence also called *robust design*. For the vibration suppression of rotor systems, the controller design is to search for a design parameter set, including the location of the magnetic bearing, the displacement feedback gains and the velocity feedback gains within their feasible domain and saturatuion limits, such that the closed loop system performance within a desired operation range can be achieved.

The rotor-bearing system can be modeled as a system of rigid disks, flexible shaft elements with distributed mass and stiffness, and discrete bearings as shown in Fig.1. The linearized second-order differential equations can be written as:

$$
[M]\{\ddot{p}\} + ([C] - \Omega[G]\{\dot{p}\} + [K]\{p\} = [B]\{u\} + \{Q\}
$$

$$
\{p\} = \{V_1, W_1, B_1, \Gamma_1, \cdots, V_{(Ne+1)}, W_{(Ne+1)}, B_{(Ne+1)}, \Gamma_{(Ne+1)}\}
$$

Based on Maxwell's law with the small oscillation assumption, the closed loop rotor-bearing system with a magnetic bearing is written by

$$
[M]\{ \ddot{p} \} + ([C] - \Omega[G]\{ \dot{p} \} + ([K] + [BK]\{p\} = -K_i[B]\{i\} + \{Q\}
$$

where

$$
[B] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots 1 & 0 \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots 0 & 1 \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{4(Ne+1)x2}^T
$$

\n
$$
[BK] = diag(0, \cdots, K_h, K_h, \cdots, 0)_{4(Ne+1)x4(Ne+1)}
$$

\n
$$
\{i\} = \{i_v, i_w\}^T
$$

For a magnetic bearing in collocated feedback configuration, the control currents can be set in the following form,

$$
\begin{Bmatrix} i_{\nu} \\ i_{\nu} \end{Bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} D_2 & D_6 \\ D_6 & D_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{V}_l \\ \dot{W}_l \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & D_5 \\ D_5 & D_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_l \\ W_l \end{bmatrix}
$$

For the rotor system as shown in Fig. 1, there are three critical speeds at 644, 872, and 1320 (*rad/sec*) the desired operation range up to 2000 (*rad/sec*). Figure 2

illustrates the first three forward modes in circular motion. The objective is to place a magnetic bearing with suitable PD feedback gains to minimize the structural vibration within the desired operation range. The possible locations for magnetic bearing are at node 2, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11, thus the design parameter of location has six levels. A standard L_{18} (6^1x3^6) array is preferred for studying the controller design.

Consider the quality loss *QL* as the summation of square of infinity norm of the unbalanced response from the first three modes. Figure 2 indicates that the anti-node amplitudes of the open loop system at node 1, 8 and 10 can be employed as the proper index to measure system performance. The quality loss Q_L is then defined by

$$
Q_L = \frac{1}{3} \Big[r_1^2 \, (\text{mod } e2) + r_8^2 \, (\text{mod } e3) + r_{10}^2 \, (\text{mod } e1) \Big]
$$

And it is expressed in decibels by

$$
\} \qquad \eta = 10 \log_{10} \left(\frac{1}{Q_L} \right)
$$

where is called the signal-to-noise ratio, *SNR*. Since *log* is a momotonically increasing function, minimizing O_L is equivalent to maximizing .

The quality loss factor and the signal noise ratio of each experiment can be calculated and shown in Table 1. Table 2 lists the mean effects of each design parameter in which the optimal level with the highest is marked. The matrix experiments predict that the optimal design is by placing the magnetic bearing at level 3 with feedback gains *Di* at level $2, 3, 3, 3, 2,$ and 1, respectively; i.e., by placing the magnetic bearing at node 8 with the feedback gains of $D_1=4$, $D_2=10$, $D_3=7$, $D_4 = 10$, $D_5 = 1$, and $D_6 = 0$. Note that the optimal set does not necessarily correspond to any row in the matrix experiment. The design parameter of magnetic bearing location is shown to be most sensitive (93.46%) to system performance, indicating that the magnetic bearing location influences system performance most. Followed by the velocity feedback gains D_2 and D_4 of 2.43% and 1.93%, respectively.

The unbalanced response of the open loop

system $(Q_L = 6.961 \times 10^{-10})$ is shown in Fig. 3. Figure 4 shows the unbalanced response of experiment 2 (Q_L =5.493x 10⁻¹⁰). There is virtually no vibration suppression in mode 1 and 3 because the magnetic bearing at node 2 is close to the anti-node of mode 2. Compared with open loop system, experiment 2 can improve the system performance by 21.2%. The unbalanced response of experiment 16 ($Q_L = 6.628 \times 10^{-10}$) is also plotted in Fig. 5, in which the magnetic bearing control at node 11 has little influence on mode 2 and 3 for the same reason. The unbalanced response of the optimal design $(Q_L = 2.931 \text{x} 10^{-10})$ is plotted in Fig. 6. Compared with that of the open loop system, experiment 2 and 16, the controller can improve the performance by as much as 57.9%, and it is shown effective for vibration suppression of all modes within the 2000 *rad/sec* operation range.

A new controller design methodology by Taguchi's method is developed for the vibration suppression of rotor systems with a magnetic bearing. The methodology integrates the Taguchi's method with conventional PD control technique such that their advantages in implementation feasibility and performance-robustness can be integrated.

Compared with other LQR-based controller designs, the controller is shown to be: (1) effective in meeting the performance requirement, (2) robust and adaptable to system with operating speed variations, (3) the controller order is smaller and feasible in implementation for no full state measurement nor state estimation is required, and (4) one can achieve the desired performance of vibration suppression by tailoring Q_L for different condition and emphasis.

(1) Humphris, R. R., Kelm, R. D., Lewis, D. W. and Allaire, P. E., "Effect of Control Algorithms on Magnetic Journal Bearing Properties," ASME *Journal of Engineering* *for Gas Turbines and Power*, 1986, Vol. 108, pp. 625-632.

(2) Palazzolo, A. B., Lin, R. R., Kascak, A. F. and Alexander, R. M., "Active Control of Transient Rotordynamic Vibration by Optimal Control Methods," ASME *Journal of Engineering for Gas Turbine and Power*, 1989, Vol. 111, pp. 264 - 270.

(3) Palazzolo, A. B., Jagannathan, S., Kascak, A. F., Montague, G. T. and Kiraly, L. J., "Hybrid Active Vibration Control of Rotordynamic Systems Using Piezoelectric Actuators," ASME *Journal of Vibration and Acoutics*, 1993, Vol. 115, pp. 111-119.

(4) Dhar, D. and Barrett, L. E. , "Design of Magnetic Bearings for Rotor Systems with Harmonic Excitations," ASME *Journal of Vibration and Acoustics*, 1993, Vol. 115, pp. 359-366.

(5) Fan, G. W., Nelson, H. D., Crouch, P. E. and Mignolet, M. P., "LQR-Based Least-Squares Output Feedback Control of Rotor Vibrations Using the Complex Mode and Balanced Realization Methods," ASME *Journal of Engineering for Gas Turbines and Power*, 1993b, Vol. 115, pp. 314 - 323.

(6) Kasarda, M. E. F., Allaire, P. E., Humphris, R. R. and Barrett, L. E., "A Magnetic Damper for First Mode Vibration Reduction in Multimass Flexible Rotors," ASME *Journal of Engineering for Gas Turbine and Power*, 1990, Vol. 112, pp. 463-469.

(7) Nelson, H. D. and McVaugh, J. M., "The Dynamics of Rotor Bearing Systems Using Finite Elements," ASME *Journal of Engineering for Industry*, 1976, Vol. 98, No. 2, pp. 593-600.

(8) Sheu, G. J., Yang, S. M. and Yang, C. D. Yang, "Design of Experiments for the Controller of Rotor Systems with a Magnetic Bearing," ASME *Journal of Vibration and Acoustics*, 1997, Vol.119, pp.200-207.

(9) Yang, S. M., "Stability Criteria of Structural Control Systems with Noncollocated Velocity Feedback," *AIAA Journal*, 1993, Vol. 31, No. 7, pp. 1351-1353.

Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of the rotor system

Fig. 2 The first three forward modes.

Fig. 3 Unbalanced response of the open loop system.

Fig. 4 Unbalanced response of experiment 2.

Fig. 5 Unbalanced response of experiment 16.

Fig. 6 Unbalanced response of the optimal design.

Level	S/A	D_1	D_2	D_3	D_4	D_5	D_6
$\mathbf{1}$	92.552	93.529	93.426	93.682	93.498	93.570	93.714
$\mathfrak{2}$	94.583	93.808	93.691	93.571	93.608	93.783	93.589
3	94.804	93.664	93.884	93.746	93.895	93.647	93.697
4	94.713						
5	93.600						
6	91.750						
Max- Min	3.054	0.279	0.458	0.175	0.397	0.213	0.125

(: maximum *SNR* in each column)

Exp. No.	Stability	r_{10} (mode 1)	r_1 (mode 2)	$r_8 \pmod{3}$	$Q_L(x10^{-10})$	SNR(dB)
Open Loop	Stable	0.571	2.87	3.510	6.961	91.573
$\mathbf{1}$	Stable	0.361	2.29	3.700	6.355	91.969
\overline{c}	Stable	0.361	1.74	3.650	5.493	92.602
3	Stable	0.368	1.34	3.580	4.916	93.084
$\overline{4}$	Stable	0.535	2.78	1.940	3.926	94.060
5	Stable	0.413	2.73	1.360	3.158	95.006
6	Stable	0.460	2.75	1.560	3.403	94.682
τ	Stable	0.270	2.83	1.110	3.105	95.080
$\,8\,$	Stable	0.310	2.84	1.220	3.217	94.926
9	Stable	0.400	2.85	1.610	3.625	94.407
10	Stable	0.216	2.85	1.170	3.179	94.977
11	Stable	0.282	2.86	1.330	3.343	94.760
12	Stable	0.423	2.86	1.590	3.629	94.402
13	Stable	0.376	2.86	2.390	4.478	93.300
14	Stable	0.203	2.85	2.050	4.122	93.850
15	Stable	0.252	2.85	2.180	4.313	93.652
16	Stable	0.169	2.82	3.450	6.628	91.786
17	Stable	0.328	2.87	3.450	6.749	91.708
18	Stable	0.236	2.84	3.450	6.675	91.756
Optimal Design	Stable	0.221	2.82	0.889	2.931	95.331

Table 1. Test Results of the L_{18} orthogonal array (unit: $x10^{-5}$ m)

對多模態磁浮軸承轉子系統具性能強健性 PID 控制器設計

NSC 90 2213 E 164 002 執行期間: 90 8 1 日至 91 7 31